

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/840,861	Applicant(s) DUPRET ET AL.	
	Examiner Young J. Kim	Art Unit 1637	

All Participants:

(1) Young J. Kim.

(2) Mr. Robert Schulman.
Status of Application: After Final Status

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2004
Time: 4:20 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 and 92

Prior art documents discussed:

Pachuk et al. (US 6,143,527, November 7, 2000, filed May 6, 1997)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative, Mr. Schulman, was advised of the above prior art which would subject claims 1 and 92 under prior art rejection. Possible suggestions in claim amendment were discussed. Applicants have agreed to discuss said matter with the clients.